Crossovers in impurity entanglement: analytical results

by H. Saleur (USC + Saclay)

Entanglement and quantum impurities: a well studied, and still lively, problem

Entanglement entropy in quantum impurity systems and systems with boundaries Ian Affleck, Nicolas Laflorencie, Erik S. Sorensen Comments: 40 pages and 22 figures. Review article for the special issue "Entanglement entropy in extended systems" in J. Phys. A Journal-ref: J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 504009 (2009)

Impurity entanglement entropy in Kondo systems from conformal field theory

Erik Eriksson, Henrik Johannesson Comments: 4 pages, published version Journal-ref: Phys. Rev. B 84, 041107(R) (2011)

An order parameter for impurity systems at quantum criticality

Abolfazl Bayat, Henrik Johannesson, Sougato Bose, Pasquale Sodano Comments: 6 pages, 5 figures Journal-ref: Nature Communications 5, 3784 (2014) Fascinating also from a technical perspective, as it combines interactions with the non locality of entanglement

Infra-red expansion of entanglement entropy in the Interacting Resonant Level Model Loïc Freton, Edouard Boulat, Hubert Saleur Comments: 27 pages, 11 figures Journal-ref: Nuclear Physics B 874 (2013) 279-311

Entanglement in quantum impurity problems is non perturbative

Hubert Saleur, Peter Schmitteckert, Romain Vasseur Comments: 18 pages, 4 figures. v3: minor post-publication footnote added Journal-ref: Phys. Rev. B 88, 085413 (2013)

Universal entanglement crossover of coupled quantum wires

Romain Vasseur, Jesper Lykke Jacobsen, Hubert Saleur Comments: v2: to appear in PRL Journal-ref: Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 106601 (2014)

A few aspects...

The problem:

Entanglement crossovers ir RG flows

in the context of quantum impurity problems. Some examples:



by a variety of tricks these problems are all technically related. To fix ideas let's take the second problem. In the UV the system is cut in half, and the entanglement of A with the rest is



we allowed for impurity (boundary) entropies (Affleck Ludwig) in the O(1) g factors

We want to know how S varies in between

Entanglement is non perturbative

To fix ideas some more: take

$$H = J \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right) \\ + (J' - J) \sum_{i=-1}^{0} \left(S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right)$$

J' is the perturbation (tunneling amplitude). Setting $h = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \Delta\right)$

Perturbation has dimension D=h/2 and is always relevant in the RG sense. It is associated with a healing (Kondo) length

$$\xi \propto T_B^{-1} \propto (J')^{2/h-2}$$

Unfolding and bosonizing leads to

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \sum_{a=1,2} (\partial_x \phi_a)^2 + \lambda \left[S^- \sum_{a=1,2} e^{i\beta \phi_a(0)/\sqrt{2}} + h.c. \right] \qquad h = \frac{\beta^2}{8\pi}, \ \lambda \propto J'$$

Is S universal along the RG flow? How does it vary as a function of L, l, T_B ?

The tricks of conformal field theory can be extended at least formally to study crossovers: perturbed CFT

Replica trick: $S_A = -\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{d}{dn} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A}(\rho_A)^n$

Continue analytically from $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Hence define theory on multi-sheeted Riemann surface



Branch-point twist fields \mathcal{T} at $(x, y) = (a_1, 0)$ and $(a_2, 0)$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}}(
ho_{\mathcal{A}})^{n} \propto \left\langle \mathcal{T}(a_{1},0) \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(a_{2},0) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^{(n)}}$$

Now need to add perturbation on the Riemann surface



Since it's a T=0 problem, integrals run over infinite imaginary time direction

The Reny entropy is essentially the two point function of twist operators.

As a result, like for most p point functions in PCFT, there are no UV divergences if $D = \frac{h}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$

But there are strong IR divergences: $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2D}} \quad \text{diverges in 0 or } \infty$

the size L does not act as a cutoff. For entanglement, divergences appear at first non trivial order: the leading correction to S is thus non analytic in J'!

Contrast with boundary (Affleck Ludwig) entropy where finite T act as cutoff

Compare with screening cloud (Affleck Barzykin 1997)

General field theoretic arguments however suggest that, since we consider a two point function

$$\operatorname{Tr} (\rho_A)^n = c_n (aT_B) \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}(n-n^{-1})} \Omega(LT_B, lT_B, n)$$

non universal part

and thus

$$\frac{\partial S(\alpha = \ell/L, L)}{\partial \ln L} = f(LT_B, \ell/L)$$

The only universal part "effective" central charge

Note:
$$S \neq c_{\text{eff}}\left(LT_B, \frac{l}{L}\right) \times \ln \frac{L}{\epsilon}$$

However, in the symmetric (Kondo like) case, scaling is better

$$S(L/2,L) - S_{IR} = g(LT_B)$$

No known way to calculate or approximate f or g analytically except in integrable cases

Entanglement in integrable QFT

- Integrability means here existence of a basis of excitations whose dynamics is factorized on two body processes, with no particle production either in the bulk or in the interaction with the impurity. While most often used in the massive case, this basis exists also in the massless case.
- The excitations (quasiparticles) are L or R moving, and live on the n copies of the initial theory
- The two point function of the twist operators is calculated by expanding on the qp basis and requires knowledge of form-factors (Cardy, Castro-Alvaredo, Doyon 2007)

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}(\rho_{A})^{n} \propto \left\langle \mathcal{T}(a_{1},0)\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(a_{2},0)\right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^{(n)}}$$
states
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{k} d\theta_{i} \sum_{\epsilon_{i}=1}^{N} |\theta_{1}\dots\theta_{k}\rangle_{\epsilon_{i}} |\epsilon_{i} < \theta_{k}\dots\theta_{1}|$$
replica index

insert sum over intermediate

The expansion in terms of k converges very fast, for all values of L and impurity couplings

(even though the theory is massless)

A simple example: the RLM (XX chains + dot). Still non trivial since twist fields are very non local in terms of the (n copies of) fermions.

$$\frac{\partial S_A(\alpha)}{\partial \log L} = F(LT_B)$$

where

$$F(x) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty dv \, e^{-2v} \left(\frac{x}{v+x}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty dv \, \left(\alpha e^{-4\alpha v} + (1-\alpha) e^{-4(1-\alpha)v}\right) \left(\frac{v}{v+x}\right)^2 + \dots$$

Numerics and FF for $\alpha = 0$



Chains of 32000 sites. No adjustable parameter

Changing α



Integrability can also mean full knowledge of the low energy action, and a meaningful "irrelevant" perturbation theory (Lesage Saleur 1996). Eg for anisotropic Kondo (the previous case with $\alpha = 1/2$ then describes the Toulouse point)

$$S(L/2,L) - S_{IR} = g(LT_B) = \frac{1}{6} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{LT_B}\right) - \frac{18}{35} \frac{(\pi g_4)^2}{(2LT_B)^6} \left(1 - \frac{6}{LT_B}\right) (4\alpha^4 - 8\alpha^2 + 9) + \mathcal{O}((LT_B)^{-8})$$

Leading order: Affleck Laflorencie Sorensen 2006

$$g_4 = \frac{D}{6\pi^2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(D/2(1-D))}{\Gamma(1/2(1-D))} \right)^3 \frac{\Gamma(3/2(1-D))}{\Gamma(3D/2(1-D))}, \quad \alpha = \frac{(1-D)}{\sqrt{D}}$$

D the dimension of the perturbation (D= I for Kondo, D=1/2 at Toulouse point)



Some results for D=1/2

FIG. 1: The form factor approximations together with the IR expansion



Some conclusions

- It is possible to understand non analyticity of S as a function of the couplings using CFT arguments
- Apart from integrable cases, there is no known way to get analytical results (not even perturbative) about S.
- General, instanton like expansions? AdS/CFT duality? (Albash, Johnson, Saleur)