Spin chains for

non compact (CFT) targets

Compact and non compact targets

In stat. mech. or solid state phys., spectra of critical exponents are usually discrete.
 E.g. compactified free boson

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L dx \left[(\partial_x \Phi)^2 + \Pi^2 \right] \qquad \Phi \equiv \Phi + 2\pi r$$

$$h = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{n}{r} + 2\pi rw\right)^2; \quad \bar{h} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{n}{r} - 2\pi rw\right)^2; \quad n, w \text{ integers}$$

Discreteness comes from the compact target. This can be seen well in the high temperature (mini superspace) approximation

$$H = \frac{1}{2L}\Pi_0^2 = -\frac{1}{2L}\frac{\partial^2}{(\partial\Phi_0)^2} \qquad \qquad [\Pi_0, \Phi_0] = i$$

Hamiltonian becomes Laplacian on target
$$\Psi(\Phi_0) \propto \exp(in\Phi_0/r)$$
 $h + \bar{h} = \frac{n^2}{4\pi r^2}$

$$H = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left(L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{12} \right)$$
 compact target implies discrete spectrum of critical exponents

Several problems of stat. mech. or solid state phys. however involve non compact targets

For instance, IQHE plateau transition in the Chalker Coddington model. Calculation of

 $\overline{|G|^2}$ involves sum over paths with multiple overlaps



[Levine-Levy-Pruisken '83; Efetov '83;Chalker-Coddington '88; Weidenmueller '87; Read '89; Zirnbauer '99...]

Maps onto alternating gl(2|2) spin chain with infinite dimensional reps.



There's many other examples: spin quantum Hall, Nishimori point in disordered Ising, all geometrical problems with weak self-avoidance

There's also many related examples on the AdS side of the AdS/CFT correspondence

Some CFT results are available in the unitary case (Liouville, Witten's Euclidian black hole = sine Liouville). Little to nothing has been known up to now about the connection with lattice models; the RG flows; or the non unitary (supergroup) case



Essential difficulty: lack of connection with stat. mech. techniques. In particular non compact integrable spin chains have resisted years of effort

```
[Faddeev Korchemsly; Belitsky et al.; Sklyanin;...]
```

Main message: non compact (L,C)FTs can be studied using proper non Hermitian compact spin chains

[Essler-Frahm-HS '05; Jacobsen-HS '06, Ikhlef-Jacobsen-HS '08 '12, Candu Ikhlef '13]

A lattice model for the black hole CFT

<u>Staggered</u> 6 vertex model



Family of commuting transfer matrices

$$t(u) = \operatorname{Tr}_0 \left[R_{0,2L}(u - \pi/2) R_{0,2L-1}(u) \dots R_{02}(u - \pi/2) R_{01}(u) \right]$$

Twice as many for XXZ chai

Twice as many as
for XXZ chain!
Conserved quantities
$$Q_{ev}^{(n)} = \left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^n \left[\log t(u)t\left(u + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]|_{u=0}$$

$$Q_{odd}^{(n)} = \left(\frac{d}{du}\right)^n \left[\log t^{-1}(u)t\left(u + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]|_{u=0}$$

$$Hamiltonian H = \frac{d}{du} \left[\log t(u)t\left(u + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]|_{u=0}$$

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{2L} \left[-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \cdot \sigma_{j+2} + \sin^2\gamma \ \sigma_j^z \sigma_{j+1}^z + i\sin\gamma \ (\sigma_{j-1}^z - \sigma_{j+2}^z)(\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y)\right]$$
Finite, 2dim. reps.
$$Momentum \ e^{-iP} \propto t(0)t(\pi/2)$$

$$"Quasi-momentum" \ S := \log \left[t^{-1}(0)t\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right]$$



Ordinary XXZ would have factor one half



Two lines of roots in ground state: two holes quantum numbers m_1, m_2

Study of finite size corrections $(\gamma = \pi/k, k \in]2, +\infty[)$

Scaling of ground state energy: c = 2

Scaling of gaps (naive):
$$H = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left(L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{12} \right)$$

$$\Delta + \bar{\Delta} = \frac{m^2}{2k} + \frac{ke^2}{2} + 0 \times \tilde{m}^2, \qquad \begin{cases} e_1 = e_2 = e \\ m = m_1 + m_2 \\ \tilde{m} = m_1 - m_2 \end{cases}$$
$$\Delta + \bar{\Delta} = \infty \text{ if } e_1 \neq e_2 \qquad \qquad \text{conformal ground states}$$

conformal ground state appears infinitely degenerate

$$p'(\lambda) = 2\pi\rho_{a}(\lambda) - \sum_{b} \int d\mu \ K_{ab}(\lambda - \mu)\rho_{b}(\mu)$$

$$\widehat{g}_{ab}(\omega) = 2\pi\delta_{ab} - \widehat{K}_{ab}(\omega)$$
Eigenvalues of matrix $\widehat{g}(\omega)$:

$$\widehat{g}_{ev}(\omega) = \frac{2\sinh\gamma\omega\cosh(\pi/2 - \gamma)\omega}{\sinh\pi\omega/2}, \quad \widehat{g}_{odd}(\omega) = \frac{2\sinh\gamma\omega\sinh(\pi/2 - \gamma)\omega}{\cosh\pi\omega/2}$$

Scaling of gaps (less naive):

$$\begin{split} \Delta + \bar{\Delta} &= \frac{m^2}{2k} + \frac{ke^2}{2} + 2\frac{s^2}{k-2} \\ L \to \infty, \quad \tilde{m} \to \infty, \quad \tilde{m} = \frac{4s}{\pi} \left(\ln L + B \right) \end{split}$$
 continuous spectrum of critical exponents!

$$s \in \mathbb{R}$$
 is eigenvalue of $S := \log \left[t^{-1}(0) t \left(rac{\pi}{2}
ight)
ight]$

Identification of CFT. The model admits a natural massive deformation, with conserved quantities at all grades $Q_{odd}^{(n)}$, $Q_{even}^{(n)}$

This is reminiscent of complex sinh Gordon

$$A = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{h} h^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial_i z \partial_j^*}{1 + |z|^2} + m^2 |z|^2 \right)$$

whose UV limit is related with Witten's Euclidian black hole CFT.

2D Euclidian black hole CFT [Witten; Dijkgraaf Verlinde Verlinde; Bakas; Maldacena Ooguri; Troost et al....]

Gauged $SL(2,\mathbb{R})/U(1)$ WZW model

$$A = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{h} h^{ij} \left(\partial_i r \partial_j r + \tanh^2 r \partial_i \theta \partial_j \theta \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2x \sqrt{h} \Phi(r,\theta) R^{(2)} \qquad \Phi(r,\theta) = 2\ln\cosh r + \Phi_0$$

 $R^{(2)}$ and h worldsheet curvature and metric

Target space metric
$$ds^2 = \frac{k}{2}d\sigma^2, \ d\sigma^2 = (dr)^2 + \tanh^2 r(d\theta)^2$$



Spectrum:



Normalizable states are mostly in the continuum (Laplacian on non compact target in mini superspace limit):

 $j = -\frac{1}{2} + is;$ s real (s is momentum along the non compact direction)

$$h + \bar{h} = \frac{n^2}{2k} + \frac{kw^2}{2} + 2\frac{s^2}{k-2} + \frac{1}{4(k-2)}$$

almost the spin chain result but for this last term

 $(n,w) \leftrightarrow (e,m)$

$$h = -\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2} + \frac{(n+kw)^2}{4k}; \quad \bar{h} = -\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2} + \frac{(n-kw)^2}{4k}; \quad n, w \text{ integers}$$

$$h + \bar{h} = \frac{n^2}{2k} + \frac{kw^2}{2} + 2\frac{s^2}{k-2} + \frac{1}{4(k-2)}$$

almost the spin chain result but for this last term

the identity operator j=0 does not correspond to a normalizable state! lowest normalizable state corresponds to s=0

$$c_{eff} = c - 24h_{min} = 2 + \frac{6}{k - 2} - 24\frac{1}{4(k - 2)} = 2$$
$$h - 24h_{min} = \Delta$$

Of course to properly identify the continuum we need the density of states

Known from CFT (Liouville reflection amplitude)

[Maldacena Ooguri; Teschner, Zamolodchikov^2; Fateev; Troost et al.;...]

$$\rho(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[-\log \epsilon + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$B(s) = \frac{1}{2s} \operatorname{Inf} \log \left[\Gamma \left(\frac{1 - m + ek}{2} - is \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1 - m - ek}{2} - is \right) \right]$$

Liouville walk cutoff on the size of the target space

Analytical work on the finite chain:

[Candu, Ikhlef, Jacobsen, HS]

$$\rho(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$
So far, B can only be determined numerically:
analysis of Bethe ansatz equations
$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_0} + \partial_s(sB) \right]$$

$$P(s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{L}{L_$$

Tuesday, July 9, 2013



 $n = w = 0; \ k = 5$

Normalizable discrete states:

$$h = -\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2} + \frac{(n+kw)^2}{4k}; \quad \bar{h} = -\frac{j(j+1)}{k-2} + \frac{(n-kw)^2}{4k}; \ n, w \text{ integers}$$

and j real variable
$$j \in \left[\frac{1-k}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right] \cap \mathbb{N} - \frac{1}{2}|kw| + \frac{1}{2}|n|$$

It is possible to adjust w in the lattice model by turning on a twist in the Bethe equations (twisted b.c.)

$$w \equiv \frac{\varphi}{\pi}$$

while $n \equiv S^z$

As the twist increases, discrete levels pop out of the continuum



Conclusions

Way to study massive deformations of non compact targets (complex sine-Gordon/ sinh-Gordon). Useful to understand issues such as non normalizability, discrete states etc

We now know many more examples. They involve various cosets and supercosets (higher dimensional black holes, super black holes), and more or less generic microscopic models.

The essential mechanism leading to non compact targets in the scaling limit remains mysterious

Non compactness of the target appears also in the description of transport. Non hermitian QM is often used as a model for open quantum systems. How is this related?